Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

Monitoring frameworks

Section 01: Background Information

SERVICE SITE DETAILS		
Q1.1: Country		
Q1.2: Region/State/Province where service is		
located		
Q1.3: Name of service site (district)		
Q1.4: Type of service site) Hospital () Health facility () Community clinic or health centr	re () Mobile clinic
Q1.5: Ownership level of service site) Dept of Health () NGO-run () Community () Other [PLS IND	DICATE]
DATA COLLECTOR INFORMATION		
Q1.6: Name of data collector		
Q1.7: Mobile # or contact of data collector		
Q1.8: Name of organization of data collector		
REPORTING INFORMATION		
Q1.9: Date & Time of interview/discussion	Pate: Time:	
Q1.10: Reporting Period (month/year)		

Section 02: Monitoring frameworks

Two interrelated indicator frameworks are provided below: 1) health service site framework; and 2) Availability, Accessibility, Acceptability and Quality (AAAQ) of services framework. These are meant to provide options for monitoring of TB services for children and young adolescents (YA)¹ depending on country and community priorities and monitoring scope.

Health service site indicator framework

The health service site indicator framework lists main quantitative indicators for monitoring TB diagnosis and treatment among children and young adolescents (YA). These are usually monitored and reported by health service sites to the national program either on a quarterly or annual basis. Health service sites should be able to provide data on the quantitative indicators listed. The list of indicators is not exhaustive of all relevant indicators; they represent the most basic ones. The number of indicators can be reduced or increased, and wording can be edited to reflect exact wording of national level TB indicators. The framework offers an example of how to record data as indicated in the yellow columns.

¹ Including children living with HIV as relevant for your country

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

#	Quantitative indicator	Disaggregation	Method of measurement	Numerator (include disaggregation)	Denominator	# or % (disaggregated results) Calculation of % indicators: Numerator divided by denominator x 100
1	Number of children/YA screened for TB disease (based on close contact with a person with TB disease)	Age: children, YA Type of screen: symptom screen, Chest X-Ray (CXR), both	Count the number screened for TB disease	# symptom screen = # CXR = # both symptom & CXR =	Leave blank	# symptom screen = # CXR = # both symptom & CXR =
2	% of children/YA screened negative for TB disease referred for TPT	Age: children, YA Type of TPT regimen ² : [INDICATE WHICH ONES ARE APPROVED IN YOUR COUNTRY]	Numerator: Number of children/YA referred for TPT Denominator: Number of children/YA screened negative for TB disease	# referred for TPT regimen 1 = # referred TPT regimen 2 = Etc.	# screened negative and referred for TPT =	% referred for TPT regimen 1 = % referred for TPT regimen 2 = Etc.
3	% of children/YA referred for TPT initiated on TPT	Age: children, YA Type of TPT regimen ³ : [INDICATE WHICH ONES ARE APPROVED IN YOUR COUNTRY]	Numerator: Number of children/YA initiated on TPT Denominator: Number of children/YA referred for TPT	# initiated on TPT regimen 1 = # initiated on TPT regimen 2 = Etc.	# referred for TPT =	% initiated on TPT regimen 1 = % initiated on TPT regimen 2 = Etc.
4	% of children/YA completing TPT within the prescribed timeframe	Age: children, YA Type of TPT regimen ⁴ : [INDICATE WHICH ONES ARE APPROVED IN YOUR COUNTRY]	Numerator: Number of children/YA completing TPT within the prescribed timeframe	# completed TPT regimen 1 = # completed TPT regimen 2 = Etc.	# completed TPT =	% completed TPT regimen 1 = % completed TPT regimen 2 = Etc.

⁻

² Recommended by WHO for use in children and adolescents: i) 6 months or 9 months of isoniazid daily (6H or 9H) (all ages); ii) 3 months of isoniazid plus rifapentine weekly (3HP) (age 2 years and over); iii) 3 months of isoniazid plus rifampicin daily (3HR) (all ages); iv) 1 month of daily isoniazid plus rifapentine (1HP) (aged 13 years and over) or 4 months of daily rifampicin (4R) (all ages) may be offered as alternative regimens. (Source: WHO operational handbook on tuberculosis. Module 5: management of tuberculosis in children and adolescents) ³ lbid.

⁴ Ibid.

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

			Denominator: Number of children/YA completing TPT			
5	% of children/YA screened positive receiving a diagnostic evaluation	Age: children, YA Type of diagnostic evaluation: clinical examination (X-ray) or bacteriological confirmation (sputum-based: microscopy or CBNAAT ⁵) or both clinical and bacteriological	Numerator: Number of children/YA receiving a diagnostic evaluation Denominator: Number of children/YA screened positive for TB disease	# clinical exam (X-ray) = # bacteriological/sputum microscopy = # bacteriological/CNAAT = # both clinical and bacteriological =	# screened positive =	% screened positive from clinical exam (X- ray) = % screened positive from bacteriological/sputum microscopy = % screened positive from bacteriological/CBNAAT = % screened positive from both clinical and bacteriological =
6	% of children/YA confirmed with TB disease put on TB treatment	Age: children, YA Type of TB treatment: drug- susceptible, drug-resistant, extrapulmonary	Numerator: Number of children/YA initiated on TB treatment Denominator: Number of children/YA confirmed with TB disease, referred for TB treatment	# initiated on TB treatment for drug susceptible TB = # initiated on TB treatment for drug- resistant TB = # initiated on TB treatment for drug- treatment TB	# confirmed with TB disease, referred for TB treatment =	% initiated on treatment for drug susceptible TB = % initiated on treatment for drug- resistant TB = % initiated on treatment for extrapulmonary TB =
7	% of children/YA completing TB treatment within the prescribed timeframe	Age: children, YA Type of TB treatment regimen: [INDICATE WHICH ONES ARE APPROVED IN YOUR COUNTRY FOR EACH TYPE OF TB]	Numerator: Number of children/YA completing TB treatment within the prescribed timeframe	# completed TB treatment regimen 1 = # completed TB treatment regimen 2 = Etc.	# completed TB treatment =	% completed TB treatment regimen 1 = % completed TB treatment regimen 2 = Etc.

_

⁵ Cartridge-Based Nucleic Acid Amplification Test

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

	Denominator: Number	ıf	
	children/YA completing	ТВ	
	treatment		

AAAQ of services framework

The AAAQ of services framework details each of the main categories followed by sub-categories for each AAAQ. When adapting/customizing the framework for country and community monitoring focus, priority and relevancy, specific AAAQ categories and sub-categories can be selected. Sub-categories may be added that are not listed. The right side the of the framework provides space for data collectors to record responses from any or all of the three key stakeholders listed, depending on the type/ownership of site to be monitored. The three stakeholders include: 1) affected families of children and young adolescents (YA) who are suspected, diagnosed or undergoing treatment for TB (infection, disease); 2) health service site staff; and 3) community care provider.

Interviews and discussions start with asking each stakeholder participant to score specific services and/or aspects of services. Scores are assigned as follows:

- 1 = Not satisfied
- 2 = Satisfied
- 3 = Not applicable (did not seek or need the service)
- 4 = Do not want to answer

Once a score has been provided, it is important to ask for and document an explanation for the score. For a score of 4 (Do not want to answer), if possible, ask why the participant does not want to answer as this can be helpful information. A column is provided for data collectors to record any recommendations for how to improve services, especially those that are scored "not satisfied".

AAAQ category	Sub-category	Description	Ranking from families affected	Reason for ranking	Ranking from facility- based health care provider	Reason for ranking	Ranking from community care provider	Reason for ranking	Recommendation s for improvements (indicate the type of respondent)
Availability Are the	Availability of TB prevention services	TB Preventive Treatment (TPT)							
services available/do	Availability of TB diagnosis	TB test, lab services							
they exist at the service site?	Availability of TB treatment	Paediatric formulations of TB medicines to treat drug-susceptible (DS) and drug-resistant (DR) TB							

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

	Availability of integrated services	Integrated services that cater to the broader health needs of children and YA (immunization, nutrition support, mental health support, etc.)				
Accessibility	Physical Accessibility	Facility location (reasonable distance, safe neighbourhood etc)				
If the services are available, can they be	,	Spaces are inclusive and accessible for individuals with disabilities (wheelchair ramp, lifts)				
readily and	Financial	Service affordability				
adequately accessed?	Accessibility	Indirect costs associated with accessing services (e.g., transport, food)				
	Administrative Accessibility	Procedural steps needed to access the service				
		Literacy needed to access service				
		Facility opening times (convenience level)				
		Service delivery times (convenience level)				
	Information Accessibility	Provision of clear and relatable information on services for children and YA				
		Information dissemination channels (appropriateness and reaching target audience)				
		Receiving relevant, updated information on program changes and updates that affect services				
		Confidentiality in how personal information is handled				
Acceptability	Acceptability in service	Services are welcoming to children and YA and their families				
Are services acceptable	provision	(of all diversities – socioeconomic status, ethnic group, culture,				

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

and appropriate		religion, language, literacy level, etc.)				
for children and		Confidentiality and informed consent provision				
adolescents?		Gender sensitivity in service provision				
		Age sensitivity in service provision for children (0-9 years) and YA (10-19 years)				
Quality	Competency	Service providers' training and skill				
Is the quality	Drugs, commodities	Drugs and tests meet global standards, not expired				
of services following WHO-		Drugs and tests are appropriate for children and young adolescents				
approved standards and	Supplies and equipment	Sufficient supplies, functioning/well maintained equipment (lab)				
protocols?	Standard of	Non-discriminatory				
	care	Hygienic/sanitary environment				
		Privacy and confidentiality respected				
		Treating children and YA and their families with dignity while accessing services				
	Quality feedback mechanism – client centred	Incorporating feedback from children and YA and their families into service delivery				
	Timeliness	Services provided in a timely manner, waiting time - to access services, to receive test results, to get prescription filled				

Section 03: Data analysis

Data collectors themselves can do an initial analysis of the information they collected by writing a short summary in response to the following questions:

Community-led Monitoring of TB services for children (ages 0-9 years) and young adolescents (ages 10-19 years)

Health service site indicators

- a. Was data available for all of the indicators? Including disaggregated data? Note what was not able to be filled out in the table.
- b. Compare the disaggregated results. Did you expect the results? Were results higher or lower than expected for which indicators? Note why your expectation(s) did not match the result(s).
- c. As applicable, compare results across the same type of service sites, same ownership level of the site, or across different types and ownership levels of sites. What differences do you see when you do these comparisons?

AAAQ of services

- a. By each type of stakeholder group interviewed, which AAAQ category and sub-categories received the most "satisfied" scores? And the most "not satisfied" scores? Were there differences across the stakeholder groups perspectives and experiences?
- b. Do most families interviewed have similar or same reasons for the services provided? Are reasons from families consistent with those from community care providers? With facility-based health care providers?



